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STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

460 Grossmans Road - Torquay

1. Introduction

This report represents the Stormwater Management Strategy to manage stormwater quality and quantity from the fully developed Grossman Estate in Torquay in accordance with a plan prepared by St Quentin Consulting Pty Ltd, Land Surveyors, Geelong.

The plan located in Appendix A has been prepared in relation to recent LDRZ application and comprises 68 Lots on a 29.094 hectare site generally, ranging in area from 2,500-4,000 square metres.

This drainage strategy has been developed in accordance with Council’s adopted Infrastructure Design Manual objectives.

2. Document Review

The proposed development abuts the western boundary of Kithbrook Park Country Club.

As part of its development a drainage regime was developed by TGM Pty Ltd, a Geelong Consulting firm, in consultation with the Surfcoast Shire.

We have received documentation from TGM. Appendix B, which indicates that it has allowed for this area as part of its downstream drainage network, having regard to reduced outlet capacity in Ghazeepore Road dictated by the Ocean Acres Estate.

An outlet pipe and capacity have been provided and allows for the 1 in 100 year rural runoff from this property as part of the Kithbrook Park development.

3. Catchment Parameters

The development’s drainage catchment is predominantly defined by Grossmans Road in the south, Kithbrook Park in the east and a ridge line that runs from its intersection with Grossmans Road in the west, to the north west corner of Kithbrook Park in the east.

A smaller triangular catchment to the north of Kithbrook Park, at the end of Dillwynia Lane, falls to its north east corner before discharging to Coombes Road.

A pre-development catchment plan indicating these areas is attached in Appendix C which indicates the majority of the of the catchment falling towards a low point approximately midway along the eastern boundary with Kithbrook Park, an area in north-east corner falling towards low point adjacent to the provided outlet through Kithbrook Park and finally the smaller triangular catchment referred to above.

The site grades generally from west to east at grades ranging from 1 in 120 - 1 in 180.
3.1 Outlet Allowances

TGM assessed the main upstream area as 25.5 ha. This was prior to the determination of the site’s actual boundaries based on detailed contouring, which now sees the area as 27.5 ha; (catchments 1 & 2 Appendix D).

TGM provided a 900 mm diameter pipe outlet, located in line with Kithbrook Park’s internal low point and retardation system, some 160m north of the main low point within this property. Discussions with Surfcoast Shire, saw TGM increase retardation within their property due to an underestimation by Council in assessing the upstream contributing catchment area.

That aside, an allowance of 1764 litres per second (l/s) has been provided for within the downstream system at the common boundary north of the proposed basin within this development.

4. Stormwater Strategy

4.1 Central Catchment

Appendix D indicates the post-development grading and overland drainage intention for the three catchments.

The central major catchment is proposed to have a retardation basin to retard flows back to the outlet capacity provided through Kithbrook Park once an allowance for the Northern Catchment has been made.

The intention is to convey the run-off from the majority of the site via the road network as overland flow to a retarding basin at a low point and from there via a piped outlet to the location provided for within Kithbrook Park.

Given the overall natural surface grading is reasonably flat, particularly when road cross sections are to convey the entire stormwater run-off to the retarding basin, preliminary gradings of each of the roads was undertaken.

This was for several reasons –

i) to ensure the minimum road grades could be achieved,
   ii) that the sections at these grades had the required capacity,
   iii) that the sections were such as to keep that one in 100 year volumes below lot levels,
   iv) that the basin storage volume was able to be contained below the table drain invert and finally,
   v) that the pipe outlet from the then basin invert was able to connect with the outlet pipe in Kithbrook Park.

Based on a minimum grade of 0.33% and a road cross-section as shown in Appendix E, it was determined that the capacity of the roadway was sufficient to cope with the volumes expected to be conveyed to the low point adjacent to the future retarding basin.
In order to achieve volumes and to protect lots, it was felt the roadway needed to be depressed by approximately 100-150 mm below natural surface to cater for capacity and freeboard.

This affected the basin design, as storage needed to be below the invert of the road table drain.

As a result, the invert of the basin was only 0.5 m above the outlet pipe invert in Kithbrook Park and following a preliminary pipe drain grading, it was determined that the length B20 to B21 within Kithbrook Park also shown in Appendix B was likely to require relaying in due course.

4.2 Northern Catchment

The intention will be to connect this small area directly to the system coming from retarding basin via a pipe or an open drain within the northern couple of lots, to a pit in this development before passing into Kithbrook Park.

This area is not retarded and its post-development volume will be removed from the total outlet value of 1764 l/s, with the balance being used to determine the retarding basin parameters for the Central catchment.

4.3 Dillwynia Catchment

This catchment is small, comprising only two lots.

The existing area has no formal outlet and ultimately discharges overland north to Coombes Road.

Dillwynia Lane at present is only a crushed rock "driveway" for part of its length and it is expected that it will be reformed, including table trains, to the boundary of this property in due course.

While some part of this catchment will fall to the newly created northern drain within Dillwynia Lane, the majority will be conveyed to Coombes Road via easements over land owned by the Developer.

5. Retardation Basin

5.1 Calculations

Pre-development run-off determination by the VicRoads method is not required in this instance, as downstream drainage is in place and the capacity allowance made.

Removing 260 l/s for the unretarded Northern catchment, the allowable outlet from the basin is 1504 l/s which results in a storage volume requirement of 3179 cubic metres.

This has been determined using Poetners (modified rational) Method and rainfall intensities for the area downloaded from the Bureau of Meteorology at this location.

The intensity value sheet and basin computations are seen overleaf.
### Basin Design Computations – Poertner Method

| Area (ha) | 250940 | Manning's Coefficient | 0.52 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Concentration (mins)</th>
<th>Q (in [l/sec])</th>
<th>Q (out [l/sec])</th>
<th>Intensity (mm/hr)</th>
<th>Poertner Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8171</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>216.79</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7007</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>181.99</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5999</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>150.18</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5092</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>136.81</td>
<td>2084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4783</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>125.32</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4265</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>114.00</td>
<td>2470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3956</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>104.95</td>
<td>2810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3673</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>97.46</td>
<td>2724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>3435</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>91.15</td>
<td>2819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>3232</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>85.74</td>
<td>2997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3065</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>81.05</td>
<td>2582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>76.93</td>
<td>3016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2639</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>73.08</td>
<td>3050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2523</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>70.01</td>
<td>3006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2429</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>67.07</td>
<td>3124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>64.41</td>
<td>3146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2253</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>61.99</td>
<td>3161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>59.77</td>
<td>3172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>2105</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>3177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>55.84</td>
<td>3178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>54.09</td>
<td>3176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>52.46</td>
<td>3170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>50.95</td>
<td>3160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>49.53</td>
<td>3080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>3003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>44.87</td>
<td>2788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Storm Frequency (Yr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torquay</th>
<th>100 yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>216.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>181.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>170.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>177.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>173.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>171.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>97.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>91.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>87.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>81.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>73.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>70.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>67.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>64.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>61.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>59.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>57.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>55.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>54.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>52.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>50.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>49.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>48.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>44.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Planning Department**

**Surf Coast Shire**

**23 Oct 2015**
5.2 Basin Plan / Layout / Formation

As outlined earlier, the 1 in 100 year storage level is intended to be below that of the low point table drain immediately west of the basin.

Appendix E is a plan view of the components and level comparison associated with the basin.

These include –

i) batter grades,
ii) basin setbacks,
iii) basin floor grades,
iv) 1 in 100 year water level,
v) adjacent road table drain level, and
vi) floodway path, grade and alternative.

While items i) - v) are self-explanatory, item vi) relates to overflow capability for rainfall events greater than 1 in 100 years.

While Appendix E indicates the ability to take the overflow north to the Kithbrook Park outfall location via open drain, it ideally should continue on the “gully” path immediately east of the retarding basin, through Kithbrook Park to connect with their internal road network.

That said, the basin is set into the ground and if water was allowed to rise to RL 38.90, then an additional volume of 4908 cubic metres of storage would be created and at a level equal the continuing gully east through Kithbrook Park while only half filling the adjacent road table drains at the existing low point.

6. Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD)

Water quality performance objectives are set out in "Urban Stormwater, Best Practice Environmental Management Guidelines" and relate to the percentage removal of several nominated pollutants.

The objectives are –

80% reduction in the typical urban annual load for total suspended solids (TSS)
45% reduction in the typical urban annual load for total phosphorus (TP), and
45% reduction in the typical urban annual load for nitrogen (TN)
70% reduction gross pollutants (litter).

As this development will be drained via grassed open/table drains, the stormwater treatment method chosen to be modelled was that of Vegetated Swales.

A MUSIC (Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualization) assessment has been carried out on a major catchment and its findings in Appendix F confirms the achievement of the targets above, except for nitrogen.
Appendix F includes a plan of the sub catchments shown contributing at the downstream nodes and excludes the value derived from the upstream drains as lots contribute at regular intervals, particularly in the run to the first node at the upstream ends.

The model also underestimates targets achieved by excluding swales on either side of roadways which contribute evenly on both sides.

As well, the only area modelled is the Central Catchment which is shown caters for the Northern and Dillwynia catchments, even though they have vegetated swales themselves.

The nett result is that the downstream outlet is receiving treated water from the total upstream catchment that has achieved the water quality treatment objectives prescribed.

7. Conclusion

The outcomes of this report can be summarized as follows –

• the majority of the site can be commanded back to a central retarding basin,
• a piped outlet and overflow arrangement from the basin can be catered for, albeit that a more direct overflow outcome to the east should be pursued, and
• downstream outlet parameters have been provided in an earlier approved development/stormwater proposal.

In essence, this strategy indicates that the development area’s stormwater can be retarded and drained without compromising downstream systems and treated to best practice for quality.
A
48.87 ha.
Further to your earlier discussion with Peter Preece, please find enclosed a copy of the catchment plans, drainage comp's and the construction issue design plans for the connecting stormwater pipe at Kithbrooke Park. There a couple of inconstancies that have come flooding back since I have looked through these old files.

- The information used to calculate the upstream catchment area was very thin.
- The calculated catchment at Ghazeepore Road for the Ocean acres development was smaller than our calculation (44Ha Vs 51Ha), accordingly we slightly oversized the Kithbrooke basin to reduce the Kithbrooke outflows to suit this, however the inflows from the upstream catchment were assumed to not be mitigated. i.e. Kithbrooke Park can accommodate the TGM calculated 100yr pre-development flows from the west, but these flows will exceed the design capacity once in Ocean Acres.
- There is a very slight discrepancy between the design flow for the west in the TGM calculations (1.746m³/s) and that shown in the design plans (1.823 m³/s), from my brief look, I am not sure where this has arisen.
- I note that the Kithbrooke design assumes that a cut off drain will be constructed to the south (towards Grossmans Road) to pick up a sub catchment, to my knowledge this has not yet been constructed.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to give me a call.

Regards,
Leigh Prossor | Project Manager
Mobile 0400 550 096 • Email Leighp@tgmgroup.com

---

From: TGM Group [mailto:irc5035@tgmgroup.com.au]
Sent: Thursday, 15 May 2014 7:47 AM
To: Leigh Prossor
Subject: Attached Image

---

It is the responsibility of the recipient to search this document/message for viruses, as although all care is taken to ensure that this message is virus free the TGM Group Pty Ltd will not be held liable for any damage resulting from this transmission. If this message has been received in error, please inform the sender as soon as possible and immediately delete the original transmission. The TGM Group Pty Ltd will not be held liable for any messages of a personal nature. This email message is the property of the TGM Group Pty Ltd and may be subject to legal professional privilege. Consequently, you must not use or disclose this message/document in any form except when authorised by the TGM Group Pty Ltd.
Kithbruoie Park - Detention Basin Sizing

Catchment Areas
- Total Catchment = Surf Coast Shire - (Ocean Acres design) = 4444.51.06 Ha
- Kithbruoie Park = 20.02 Ha
- Upstream (North) Catchment = 4.54 Ha
- Upstream (West) Catchment = 25.5 Ha

Ocean Acres design data (Chazeepore Rd input)
- $T_o = 33.38$ mins
- $C_{10} = 0.2$
- $Q_5 = 0.71 m^3 s^{-1}$
- $Q_{10} = 0.91 m^3 s^{-1}$
- $Q_{100} = 1.08 m^3 s^{-1}$

Adopt S.C.S Flows at Chazeepore Rd
- Kithbruoie Park = 41.16% of Total catchment
therefore restrict outflows to 41.16% of $Q_{total}$

- $Q_{5 \text{ allowed}} = 0.71 m^3 s^{-1} \times 41.16\% = 0.292 m^3 s^{-1}$
- $Q_{10 \text{ allowed}} = 0.91 m^3 s^{-1} \times 41.16\% = 0.375 m^3 s^{-1}$
- $Q_{100 \text{ allowed}} = 1.08 m^3 s^{-1} \times 41.16\% = 0.435 m^3 s^{-1}$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>Head Loss</th>
<th>Time in Valve (s)</th>
<th>Volume (m³)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (L/s)</th>
<th>Pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Particle Size (μm)</th>
<th>Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Reynolds Number</th>
<th>Vortex Formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table represents drainage computations for a specific area using head loss, time in valve, volume, flow rate, pressure, particle size, velocity, Reynolds number, and vortex formation conditions.
Note:
- CONTOUR INTERVAL = 0.50 m

Peter Berry & Associates Pty. Ltd.
Civil Engineers

BASIN DETAIL & OUTFALL DRAINAGE
460 GROSSMANS ROAD SUBDIVISION
TORQUAY